Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON MA 02110

In re Patent No. 7,582,313 Issued: September 1, 2009 Application No. 10/698,734 Filed: October 31, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 00786/405003

MAILED

JUN 1 8 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

: DECISION ON REQUEST : FOR RECONSIDERATION OF : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705", filed October 28, 2009 requesting that the patent term adjustment determination for the above-identified patent be changed from 199 days to 633 days.

The petition to correct the patent term adjustment indicated on the above-identified patent to indicate that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by six hundred thirty-three (633) days is **GRANTED**.

Patentees argue that the total period of Office delay is the sum of the period of Three Years Delay (636 days) and the period of Examination Delay (434 days) to the extent that these periods of delay are not overlapping. However, in effect, patentees contend that no portion of the Three Year Delay period overlaps with the period of 14-month examination delay. As such, patentees assert entitlement to a patent term adjustment of 633 days (636 + 434 reduced by 0 overlap – 437 (applicant delay)).

Patentees argue that the total period of Examination Delay is only 434 days and not 441 days as 7 days has been incorrectly accorded for the mailing of a non-Final Office Action on January 24, 2008. Patentee's argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Notwithstanding the filing of a supplemental response on October 30, 2007, the mailing of the non-Final Office Action was mailed four months and 7 days after the filing of the first response filed on September 17, 2007, thus the 7 day delay accorded the PTO is appropriate.

The Office contends that 7 days of the 441 days for examination delay overlap with the 636 days of delay for over three year pendency (B delay). Thus, the B delay is 629 days. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

Likewise, 35 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in § 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

The Office will sua sponte issue a certificate of correction. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.322, the Office will not issue a certificate of correction without first providing assignee or patentee an opportunity to be heard. Accordingly, patentees are given one (1) month or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this decision to respond. No extensions of time will be granted under § 1.136.

The application is being forwarded to the Certificates of Branch for issuance of a certificate of correction. The Office will issue a certificate of correction indicating that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by six hundred thirty-three (633) days.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Patricia Faison-Ball at (571) 272-3212.

Anthony Knight

Director

Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of DRAFT Certificate of Correction

## **DRAFT**

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## **CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT : 7,582,313 B2

DATED : September 1, 2009

INVENTOR(S): Denise L. Faustman

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the cover page,

[\*] Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 USC 154(b) by (199) days

Delete the phrase "by 199 days" and insert - by 633 days--